

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

**CHROMagar™ ESBL / mSuperCARBA bi-plate medium  
for detection of ESBL- and carbapenemase-producing  
*Enterobacteriaceae* from spiked stools**

**Delphine GIRLICH<sup>a</sup>, Victor GROSPERRIN<sup>a</sup>, Thierry NAAS<sup>a,b</sup> and Laurent  
DORTET<sup>a,b\*</sup>**

<sup>a</sup> EA7361, Université Paris-Sud, Bacteriology-Hygiene unit, APHP, Hôpital  
Bicêtre, Le Kremlin-Bicêtre, France

<sup>b</sup> Associate French National Reference Center for Antibiotic Resistance  
“Carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae”

\*Corresponding author: Dr. Laurent DORTET

Faculté de Médecine et Université Paris Saclay, Le Kremlin-Bicêtre, 78 rue du  
Général Leclerc 94270 Le Kremlin-Bicêtre, France.

Phone :+33 1 45212019

Fax : +33 1 45216340

Email: laurent.dortet@aphp.fr

**Running title :** Bi-plate for screening ESBL-Es and CPEs

**Word count :** Text : 1282 words; Abstract : 49 words

## ABSTRACT

25

26 The recently released CHROMagar™ ESBL/CHROMagar™ mSuperCARBA bi-  
27 plate medium was evaluated for the detection of ESBL- and carbapenemase-  
28 producing *Enterobacteriaceae*. Spiked stools were used to mimic *in vivo* stool  
29 colonization. Two-hundred enterobacterial isolates were tested. Respective  
30 sensitivities of 93.9% and 97.8% were obtained for the detection of ESBL and  
31 carbapenemase producers.

32

33 **Keywords** : carbapenemases, NDM, sensitivity, specificity, selective medium,  
34 chromogenic

35

36

37 Resistance to broad-spectrum cephalosporins and subsequently to  
38 carbapenems spreads rapidly among *Enterobacteriaceae* at an alarming rate,  
39 resulting in both nosocomial and community-acquired infections primarily due to  
40 the production of extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamases (ESBLs) and/or  
41 carbapenemases (1, 2). Targeted surveillance of high-risk patients and  
42 screening are essential to prevent outbreaks of nosocomial infections by these  
43 organisms. In *Enterobacteriaceae*, clinically relevant carbapenemases belong to  
44 the Ambler class A (KPC-type), Ambler class B metallo- $\beta$ -lactamases (NDM,  
45 VIM and IMP), and Ambler class D enzymes (OXA-48-like) (3). The level of  
46 resistance to carbapenems conferred by these carbapenemase producers may  
47 vary widely, making their detection difficult when just based on their *in vitro*  
48 susceptibility profile (4). ESBL are enzymes that mediate resistance to  
49 penicillins, extended-spectrum third generation cephalosporins (3GC) and  
50 monobactams. ESBL-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* appeared in the 1980s,  
51 and have since become highly prevalent in nosocomial infections, mainly due to  
52 *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella* spp. ChromID ESBL (bioMérieux) and  
53 CHROMagar™ ESBL (CHROMagar, Paris, France) are very useful for the  
54 detection of ESBL enterobacterial producers, nevertheless their ability to detect  
55 isolates producing OXA-48-like carbapenemase alone is limited (5, 6).  
56 Consequently, the detection of all carbapenemase-producing  
57 *Enterobacteriaceae* (CPE), including OXA-48-like producers, is based on the  
58 inoculation of at least two media able to efficiently identify (i) all types of  
59 carbapenemases with the exception of OXA-48-like enzymes (e.g. ChromID®  
60 CARBA medium, bioMérieux) and (ii) specifically OXA-48-like producers (e.g.  
61 ChromID® OXA-48 medium, bioMérieux). These two media are now marketed  
62 in a single bi-plate, the ChromID® CARBA SMART medium that has been

63 reported to efficiently detect all CPEs. However, this medium is designed for the  
64 screening of CPEs and not for ESBL-producers.

65 Another medium had already been developed for the detection of all CPEs,  
66 including OXA-48-like carbapenemase-producers, the SUPERCARBA medium  
67 (7). The initial SUPERCARBA medium was further modified to improve its  
68 stability and facilitate the presumptive identification of the enterobacterial  
69 species by the addition of chromogenic molecules. This improved medium,  
70 named mSuperCARBA (CHROMagar Ltd., France), was demonstrated to be  
71 reliable for the screening of CPE (8-11). However, as observed with the  
72 ChromID® CARBA SMART medium, this single plate medium is not suitable for  
73 screening of ESBL producers.

74 Recently, the selective bi-plate medium CHROMagar™ ESBL/CHROMagar™  
75 mSuperCARBA (CHROMagar Ltd., France) was designed for the rapid  
76 identification of ESBL-producing and carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae*.  
77 The aim of the present study was to evaluate the performance of this bi-plate  
78 medium using spiked stool samples with a collection of well-characterized CPE  
79 and ESBL-producers at the molecular level.

80

81 Two hundred and three enterobacterial isolates were tested (Table 1),  
82 including 137 carbapenemase-producers [30 Ambler class A (KPC, Sme, IMI,  
83 GES-5, FRI-1), 50 Ambler class B (IMP, VIM, GIM-1, NDM-type), 43 Ambler  
84 class D (OXA-48-type and OXA-372), 14 multiple carbapenemase producers]  
85 and 62 non carbapenemase-producers [ESBL with or without impaired outer-  
86 membrane (n=26), cephalosporinase-producers with or without an altered  
87 outer-membrane (n=27), concomitant ESBL and over-expressed  
88 cephalosporinase-producers (n=4), K1 penicillinase or extended-spectrum  
89 oxacillinases-producers (n=5)]. The  $\beta$ -lactamase content of all these isolates

90 was characterized by end-point PCR followed by Sanger sequencing or by  
91 whole genome sequencing. In addition the Carba NP test was also performed  
92 on all isolates as previously described (12). Fully-susceptible strains of *E. coli*  
93 ATCC 25922 and *K. pneumoniae* CIP53153, and ESBL-producing strains of *E.*  
94 *coli* CIP103983 (TEM-4) and *K. pneumoniae* ATCC 700603 (SHV-18) were  
95 used as controls (not included in the Table of results).

96 CHROMagar™ ESBL/mSuperCARBA is a ready-to-use bi-plate with a  
97 shelf life of 60 days at +4°C (+2°C to +12°C). Bacterial suspensions with a 0.5  
98 McFarland (inoculum of  $\sim 5 \times 10^7$  to  $10^8$  CFU/mL) of the different isolates were  
99 serially diluted (10-fold dilutions) in water. Spiked fecal samples were prepared  
100 by adding 100  $\mu$ L of each dilution to 900  $\mu$ L of fecal suspension obtained by  
101 resuspending 4 g of freshly pooled feces from four healthy volunteers in 40 mL  
102 of distilled water, as previously described (13). A fecal suspension without  
103 spiking was used as negative control. The lowest detection limits were  
104 determined by plating 10  $\mu$ L of each spiked fecal samples on CHROMagar™  
105 ESBL/mSuperCARBA medium. Viable bacteria were counted after 24 h of  
106 culture at 37°C. The lowest detection limit (LOD) corresponds the minimum  
107 number of bacteria that must be present in the sample to obtain a growth on the  
108 selective medium. In order to compare both selective media, the cut-off value  
109 was arbitrarily set at  $> 1 \times 10^4$  CFU/mL. A minimal concentration greater than  $1$   
110  $\times 10^4$  CFU/mL was considered as non-detection and sensitivity and specificity  
111 values were determined accordingly (Table 1).

112 Considering the 62 non-carbapenemase producers, all ESBL-producers  
113 with (n=13) or without an altered outer-membrane (n=13) grew on the  
114 CHROMagar™ ESBL medium, while 75% of the enterobacterial isolates with an  
115 acquired cephalosporinase did not grow with the exception of one DHA-2-  
116 producing *K. pneumoniae* isolate (considered as a false positive). However,

117 19/23 isolates with overexpression of the cephalosporinase associated with  
118 decreased altered outer membrane grew on the CHROMagar™ ESBL medium.  
119 They were falsely considered as potential ESBL-producers. Only one of the  
120 three extended-spectrum oxacillinase-producers grew on the CHROMagar™  
121 ESBL medium (thus giving two false negatives). Finally, the two penicillinase K1  
122 overexpressing *K. oxytoca* were also falsely detected as ESBL-producers.  
123 Overall, the sensitivity of the CHROMagar™ ESBL medium for the detection of  
124 true ESBL-producers was 93.9% [95% confidence interval (CI95) = 78.4% -  
125 98.9%] in this study. Due to the high number of isolates with overexpressed-  
126 cephalosporinase associated with decreased outer-membrane permeability,  
127 and the absence of isolates with wild-type phenotypes, the specificity of the  
128 CHROMagar™ ESBL medium was low (24.1%). Despite this limitation in the  
129 number of susceptible isolates tested, several studies have previously  
130 demonstrated that this CHROMagar™ ESBL medium is useful for the efficient  
131 detection of ESBL producers (14, 15).

132 Among the 137 CPEs, all but three cultured on the CHROMagar™  
133 mSuperCARBA medium. One Sme-1-producing *Serratia marcescens*, one  
134 NDM-1 producing *Providencia stuartii*, and one VIM-1 producing *E. coli* (Table  
135 1) did not grow on the 'carba side of the plate', however, NDM-1 producing *P.*  
136 *stuartii* and VIM-1 producing *E. coli* grew on the ESBL side of the plate. As  
137 previously described with the SUPERCARBA medium, all OXA-48-like  
138 producers, known to have a lower carbapenemase activity compared to other  
139 carbapenemases (KPC, NDM, VIM, IMP), were detected irrespective of the  
140 production of an associated ESBL (7). Despite high MICs of carbapenems,  
141 most (15/23) non carbapenemase-producers with overexpression of the  
142 cephalosporinase associated with decreased outer membrane permeability did  
143 not culture on mSuperCARBA medium (Table 1). Overall, the sensitivity of the

144 CHROMagar™ mSuperCARBA medium for the detection carbapenemase  
145 producers was 97.8% [CI95 = 93.2% - 99.4%] in this study. The specificity was  
146 66.1% [CI95 = 52.9% - 77.4%], which corresponds mainly to the growth of  
147 isolates with reduced susceptibility to carbapenems due to ESBL production  
148 and/or AmpC overexpression plus impermeability of the outer membrane (Table  
149 1). Due to the low specificity of both sides of the bi-plate, confirmatory tests for  
150 ESBLs or carbapenemases detection should be undertaken on any growth. This  
151 is a major drawback that will increase the turn around time and costs if a rapid  
152 test is implemented as a confirmation test. However, this low specificity is mostly  
153 due to the design of the strains collection and might be better from clinical  
154 samples.

155

156 Our results suggest that the CHROMagar™ ESBL/mSuperCARBA bi-  
157 plate might be a reliable tool for the concomitant screening of patients colonized  
158 with ESBL and/or CPE. Currently, in France, hospitalized patients who have  
159 travelled abroad are screened for the presence of CPE and ESBL-producing  
160 Enterobacteriaceae. Different infection-control measures are implemented and  
161 maintained according to the screening results (16): (i) standard precautions [no  
162 ESBL producer, no CPE and no glycopeptide resistant *Enterococcus faecium*  
163 (GRE)], (ii) contact precautions without cohorting (presence of ESBL producer  
164 only), and (iii) 'extensively drug-resistant bacteria' (eXDR) control program that  
165 includes dedicated staff, isolation of carrier and even cohorting, if possible, and,  
166 active contact tracing and screening. This active screening of eXDR involves  
167 the inoculation of three to four different media including one for the detection of  
168 ESBL producers, one (bi-plate) or two media for the detection of CPE and one  
169 for the screening of GRE. In the field of laboratory automation in clinical  
170 microbiology (17, 18), the panel of media that can be loaded onto the sorter of

171 the inoculation system is limited (19). Accordingly, the implementation of  
172 CHROMAGAR™ ESBL/mSuperCARBA bi-plate might be an advantage, since  
173 this medium can replace two or three of the currently used media for the  
174 screening of ESBL and CPE producers. The cost of these bi-plates will be  
175 approximately 3.25€/plate.

176

177

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

178 We thank Saoussen Oueslati and Sandrine Bernabeu for helping constituting the  
179 panel of isolates.

180

## **FUNDING**

181 This work was partially supported by the University Paris-Sud, France and  
182 Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Paris, Paris, France. LD, DG and TN are  
183 members of the Laboratory of Excellence in Research on Medication and  
184 Innovative Therapeutics (LERMIT) supported by a grant from the French National  
185 Research Agency (ANR-10-LABX-33).

186

187

## **TRANSPARENCY DECLARATION**

188 None to declare

189

## REFERENCES

190

- 191 1. **Pitout JD, Laupland KB.** 2008. Extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-  
192 producing Enterobacteriaceae: an emerging public-health concern.  
193 Lancet Infect Dis **8**:159-166.
- 194 2. **Rood IGH, Li Q.** 2017. Review: Molecular detection of extended  
195 spectrum-beta-lactamase- and carbapenemase-producing  
196 Enterobacteriaceae in a clinical setting. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis  
197 **89**:245-250.
- 198 3. **Nordmann P, Dortet L, Poirel L.** 2012. Carbapenem resistance in  
199 Enterobacteriaceae: here is the storm! Trends Mol Med **18**:263-272.
- 200 4. **Landman D, Urban C, Backer M, Kelly P, Shah N, Babu E, Bratu S,**  
201 **Quale J.** 2010. Susceptibility profiles, molecular epidemiology, and  
202 detection of KPC-producing Escherichia coli isolates from the New York  
203 City vicinity. J Clin Microbiol **48**:4604-4607.
- 204 5. **Carrer A, Fortineau N, Nordmann P.** 2010. Use of ChromID extended-  
205 spectrum beta-lactamase medium for detecting carbapenemase-  
206 producing Enterobacteriaceae. J Clin Microbiol **48**:1913-1914.
- 207 6. **Hornsey M, Phee L, Woodford N, Turton J, Meunier D, Thomas C,**  
208 **Wareham DW.** 2013. Evaluation of three selective chromogenic media,  
209 CHROMagar ESBL, CHROMagar CTX-M and CHROMagar KPC, for the  
210 detection of Klebsiella pneumoniae producing OXA-48 carbapenemase.  
211 J Clin Pathol **66**:348-350.
- 212 7. **Nordmann P, Girlich D, Poirel L.** 2012. Detection of carbapenemase  
213 producers in Enterobacteriaceae by use of a novel screening medium. J  
214 Clin Microbiol **50**:2761-2766.
- 215 8. **Garcia-Fernandez S, Hernandez-Garcia M, Valverde A, Ruiz-**  
216 **Garbajosa P, Morosini MI, Canton R.** 2017. CHROMagar

- 217 mSuperCARBA performance in carbapenem-resistant  
218 Enterobacteriaceae isolates characterized at molecular level and routine  
219 surveillance rectal swab specimens. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* **87**:207-  
220 209.
- 221 9. **Garcia-Quintanilla M, Poirel L, Nordmann P.** 2018. CHROMagar  
222 mSuperCARBA and RAPIDEC(R) Carba NP test for detection of  
223 carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae. *Diagn Microbiol Infect*  
224 *Dis* **90**:77-80.
- 225 10. **Genc O, Aksu E.** 2018. Chromogenic culture media or rapid  
226 immunochromatographic test: Which is better for detecting *Klebsiella*  
227 *pneumoniae* that produce OXA-48 and can they be used in blood and  
228 urine specimens. *J Microbiol Methods* **148**:169-173.
- 229 11. **Soria Segarra C, Larrea Vera G, Berrezueta Jara M, Arevalo Mendez**  
230 **M, Cujilema P, Serrano Lino M, Soria Segarra C.** 2018. Utility of  
231 CHROMagar mSuperCARBA for surveillance cultures of  
232 carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae. *New Microbes New*  
233 *Infect* **26**:42-48.
- 234 12. **Dortet L, Agathine A, Naas T, Cuzon G, Poirel L, Nordmann P.** 2015.  
235 Evaluation of the RAPIDEC(R) CARBA NP, the Rapid CARB Screen(R)  
236 and the Carba NP test for biochemical detection of carbapenemase-  
237 producing Enterobacteriaceae. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **70**:3014-3022.
- 238 13. **Naas T, Ergani A, Carrer A, Nordmann P.** 2011. Real-time PCR for  
239 detection of NDM-1 carbapenemase genes from spiked stool samples.  
240 *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **55**:4038-4043.
- 241 14. **Grohs P, Tillecovidin B, Caumont-Prim A, Carbonnelle E, Day N,**  
242 **Podglajen I, Gutmann L.** 2013. Comparison of five media for detection

- 243 of extended-spectrum Beta-lactamase by use of the wasp instrument for  
244 automated specimen processing. *J Clin Microbiol* **51**:2713-2716.
- 245 15. **Saito R, Koyano S, Nagai R, Okamura N, Moriya K, Koike K.** 2010.  
246 Evaluation of a chromogenic agar medium for the detection of extended-  
247 spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae. *Lett Appl*  
248 *Microbiol* **51**:704-706.
- 249 16. **Fournier S, Desenfant L, Monteil C, Nion-Huang M, Richard C,**  
250 **Jarlier V, The Ap-Hp Outbreaks Control G.** 2018. Efficiency of different  
251 control measures for preventing carbapenemase-producing  
252 enterobacteria and glycopeptide-resistant *Enterococcus faecium*  
253 outbreaks: a 6-year prospective study in a French multihospital  
254 institution, January 2010 to December 2015. *Euro Surveill* **23**.
- 255 17. **Croxatto A, Greub G.** 2017. Project management: importance for  
256 diagnostic laboratories. *Clin Microbiol Infect* **23**:434-440.
- 257 18. **Lina G, Greub G.** 2016. Automation in bacteriology: a changing way to  
258 perform clinical diagnosis in infectious diseases. *Clin Microbiol Infect*  
259 **22**:215-216.
- 260 19. **Croxatto A, Prod'hom G, Faverjon F, Rochais Y, Greub G.** 2016.  
261 Laboratory automation in clinical bacteriology: what system to choose?  
262 *Clin Microbiol Infect* **22**:217-235.
- 263

**Table 1.** Sensitivity of detection of the CHROMagar™ ESBL /mSuperCARBA medium from spiked fecal samples.

β-lactam resistance mechanism	Name of the strain	Species	MIC (μg/ml)			β-lactamase content	Limit of detection (UFC/ml) <sup>b,c</sup>		
			IPM <sup>a</sup>	ETP	MEM		ESBL	mSuperCARBA	
<b>NON CARBAPENEMASE PRODUCERS</b>									
Acquired Case	2	E2	<i>E. coli</i>	0.12	0.02	0.02	DHA-1	> 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>	> 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>
	2	E3	<i>E. coli</i>	0.12	0.12	0.12	ACC-1	> 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>	> 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>
	2	E4	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	0.12	0.5	0.12	DHA-2	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	> 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>
	2	E5	<i>P. mirabilis</i>	0.25	0.12	0.12	ACC-1	> 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>	> 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>
ESBL	2	E6	<i>E. coli</i>	0.12	0.12	0.12	CTX-M-1	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	> 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>
	2	E7	<i>E. coli</i>	0.12	0.12	0.12	CTX-M-3	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	> 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>
	2	E8	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	0.12	0.12	0.12	CTX-M-3	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	> 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>
	2	E9	<i>E. coli</i>	0.12	0.12	0.12	CTX-M-14	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	> 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>
	2	E10	<i>E. coli</i>	0.12	0.12	0.12	CTX-M-14	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	> 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>
	2	F1	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	0.12	0.12	0.12	CTX-M-14	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	> 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>
	2	F2	<i>E. coli</i>	0.12	0.12	0.12	CTX-M-15	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	> 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>
	2	F3	<i>E. coli</i>	0.12	0.12	0.12	CTX-M-15	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	> 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>
	2	F4	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	0.12	0.12	0.12	CTX-M-15	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	> 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>
	2	F5	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	0.12	0.12	0.12	CTX-M-15	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	> 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>
	2	F6	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	0.12	0.12	0.12	CTX-M-15	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	> 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>
	2	F7	<i>E. cloacae</i>	0.12	0.12	0.12	CTX-M-15	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	> 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>
	2	F8	<i>E. cloacae</i>	0.12	0.12	0.12	VEB-1	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	> 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>
	Case + impermeability	2	F9	<i>E. coli</i>	16	>32	2	↗↗↗ Case	3 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
		CNR 92 10	<i>E. cloacae</i>	0.19	1	0.12	↗↗↗ Case	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	> 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>
		CNR 92 J6	<i>E. cloacae</i>	0.25	1	0.12	↗↗↗ Case	> 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>	> 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>
2		F10	<i>E. cloacae</i>	0.12	1	0.12	↗↗↗ Case	1 x 10 <sup>3</sup>	> 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>
2		G1	<i>E. cloacae</i>	0.12	1	0.12	↗↗↗ Case	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	> 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>
2		G2	<i>E. cloacae</i>	0.25	4	0.25	↗↗↗ Case	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>3</sup>
2		G3	<i>E. cloacae</i>	4	1.5	0.75	↗↗↗ Case	5 x 10 <sup>3</sup>	> 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>
2		G4	<i>E. cloacae</i>	0.19	1.5	0.12	↗↗↗ Case	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	> 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>
2		G5	<i>E. cloacae</i>	0.5	4	0.75	↗↗↗ Case	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	> 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>
2		G6	<i>E. cloacae</i>	1.5	0.75	0.25	↗↗↗ Case	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	> 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>
2		G7	<i>E. cloacae</i>	1.5	2	0.75	↗↗↗ Case	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	> 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>
2		G8	<i>E. cloacae</i>	0.5	1.5	0.38	↗↗↗ Case	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	> 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>
2		G9	<i>E. cloacae</i>	2	4	1.5	↗↗↗ Case	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>3</sup>
2		G10	<i>E. cloacae</i>	8	>32	4	↗↗↗ Case	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	4 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
2		H1	<i>E. cloacae</i>	0.5	4	0.75	↗↗↗ Case	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
2		H2	<i>E. cloacae</i>	0.19	3	0.38	↗↗↗ Case	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
2	H3	<i>E. cloacae</i>	1.5	3	0.75	↗↗↗ Case	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	> 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>	
2	H4	<i>E. aerogenes</i>	1	4	0.75	↗↗↗ Case	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	> 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>	
2	H5	<i>M. morgannii</i>	1.5	0.02	0.12	↗↗↗ Case	> 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>	> 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>	

	CNR 87 J10	<i>H. alvei</i>	0.25	1	0.09	↗↗↗ Case		$\geq 1 \times 10^4$	$\geq 1 \times 10^4$
	CNR 88 A1	<i>H. alvei</i>	0.38	6	0.75	↗↗↗ Case		$\geq 1 \times 10^4$	$1 \times 10^2$
	CNR 87 F7	<i>S. marcescens</i>	0.75	0.75	0.19	↗↗↗ Case		$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	CNR 87 B7	<i>E. cloacae</i>	0.25	0.75	0.04	↗↗↗ Case		$1 \times 10^2$	$\geq 1 \times 10^4$
ESBL + impermeability	2	H6	<i>E. coli</i>	2	4	1	CTX-M-15	$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	2	H7	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	1	>32	4	CTX-M-15 + SHV-1	$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	2	H8	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	1.5	>32	4	CTX-M-15 + TEM-1 + SHV-1	$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	2	H9	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	0.25	1	1	CTX-M-15 + TEM-1 + SHV-1	$1 \times 10^2$	$\geq 1 \times 10^4$
	2	H10	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	1.5	>32	6	CTX-M-15 + SHV-11	$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	2	I1	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	8	>32	4	CTX-M-15 + SHV-28 - TEM-1	$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	2	I2	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	1	4	1	TEM-1 + SHV-28	$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	2	I3	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	3	>32	6	CTX-M-15 + TEM-1 + SHV-11	$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	2	I4	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	0.25	1	1	CTX-M-15 + TEM-1 + SHV-11	$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	2	I5	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	6	> 32	> 32	CTX-M-15 + TEM-1 + SHV-11	$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
2	I6	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	0.75	> 32	3	CTX-M-15 + TEM-1 + SHV-12	$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$	
2	I7	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	1	24	0.5	CTX-M-15 + TEM-1 + SHV-11	$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$	
2	I8	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	2	4	1	CTX-M-15 + TEM-1 + SHV-1 + OXA-1	$1 \times 10^2$	$\geq 1 \times 10^4$	
Hyper K1 + impermeability	CNR 151 J9	<i>K. oxytoca</i>	2	3	0.75	↗↗↗ K1 penicillinase		$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	CNR 92 J7	<i>K. oxytoca</i>	1	2	0.5	↗↗↗ K1 penicillinase		$1 \times 10^2$	$\geq 1 \times 10^4$
ESBL + Case + impermeability	2	I9	<i>E. cloacae</i>	1.5	6	1	↗↗↗ Case + CTX-M-15	$1 \times 10^2$	$\geq 1 \times 10^4$
	2	I10	<i>E. cloacae</i>	2	8	1	↗↗↗ Case + CTX-M-15	$1 \times 10^2$	$\geq 1 \times 10^4$
	2	J1	<i>E. cloacae</i>	3	12	2	↗↗↗ Case + CTX-M-15	$1 \times 10^2$	$\geq 1 \times 10^4$
	2	J2	<i>C. freundii</i>	1	8	1	↗↗↗ Case + TEM-3	$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
Extended spectrum oxacillinases	2	J3	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	0.5	0.38	0.12	OXA-163	$\geq 1 \times 10^4$	$\geq 1 \times 10^4$
	2	J4	<i>E. cloacae</i>	0.5	2	0.19	OXA-163	$2 \times 10^2$	$\geq 1 \times 10^4$
	2	J5	<i>S. marcescens</i>	0.5	0.75	0.19	OXA-405	$\geq 1 \times 10^4$	$\geq 1 \times 10^4$
<b>CARBAPENEMASE PRODUCERS</b>									
KPC-type	1	F1	<i>E. coli</i>	1	>32	3	KPC-2	$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	F2	<i>E. coli</i>	0.5	0.5	0.5	KPC-2	$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	F3	<i>E. coli</i>	2	1.5	1	KPC-2 + TEM-1 + OXA-9	$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	F4	<i>E. coli</i>	4	4	2	KPC-2 + CTX-M-9 + TEM-1	$1 \times 10^2$	$4 \times 10^2$
	1	F5	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	16	24	32	KPC-2 + SHV-11 + TEM-1 + CTX-M-2	$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	F6	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	>32	>32	>32	KPC-2 + SHV-11 + TEM-1 + CTX-M-2 + OXA-9	$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	F7	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	16	>32	>32	KPC-2 + SHV-11 + CTX-M-15	$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	F8	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	4	4	32	KPC-2 + TEM-1 + SHV-1 + CTXM-15	$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	F9	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	4	24	2	KPC-2 + SHV-11 + TEM-1 + SHV-12 + OXA-9	$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	F10	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	>32	>32	>32	KPC-2 + SHV-11	$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	G1	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	4	6	8	KPC-2 + SHV-11 + TEM-1	$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	G2	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	4	>32	8	KPC-3 + TEM-1 + SHV-1 + CTX-M-15 + OXA-9	$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	G3	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	8	>32	8	KPC-3 + SHV-11 + OXA-9 + TEM-1	$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
1	G4	<i>K. ozoenae</i>	>32	>32	2	KPC-3 + OXA-9 + TEM-1	$\geq 1 \times 10^4$	$2 \times 10^2$	

	1	G5	<i>E. cloacae</i>	1	1.5	0.75	KPC-2		$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	G6	<i>E. cloacae</i>	24	>32	16	KPC-2 + TEM-1		$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	G7	<i>E. cloacae</i>	4	6	2	KPC-2 + TEM-1 + OXA-1		$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	G8	<i>E. cloacae</i>	2	4	1.5	KPC-2 + TEM-1 + SHV-11		$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	G9	<i>E. cloacae</i>	2	2	1	KPC-2 + TEM-3		$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	G10	<i>C. freundii</i>	8	1.5	3	KPC-2 + TEM-1		$> 1 \times 10^4$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	I1	<i>S. marcescens</i>	>32	>32	>32	KPC-2 + TEM-1 + SHV-12		$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	I2	<i>S. marcescens</i>	>32	>32	>32	KPC-2 + TEM-1		$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
IMI-type	1	I3	<i>E. cloacae</i>	>32	8	4	IMI-1		$> 1 \times 10^4$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	I4	<i>E. asburiae</i>	>32	>32	>32	IMI-2		$> 1 \times 10^4$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	I5	<i>E. asburiae</i>	>32	8	2	IMI-2		$> 1 \times 10^4$	$3 \times 10^2$
NMC-A	1	I6	<i>E. cloacae</i>	>32	1,5	0,75	NmcA		$> 1 \times 10^4$	$3 \times 10^3$
SME-type	1	I7	<i>S. marcescens</i>	>32	8	8	Sme-1		$> 1 \times 10^4$	$> 1 \times 10^4$
	1	I8	<i>S. marcescens</i>	>32	8	8	Sme-2		$> 1 \times 10^4$	$1 \times 10^2$
GES-type	1	I9	<i>E. cloacae</i>	>32	>32	>32	GES-5		$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
FRI-1	1	I10	<i>E. cloacae</i>	>32	>32	16	FRI-1		$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
NDM-type	1	A1	<i>E. coli</i>	1	3	1	NDM-1 + OXA-1 + OXA-10 + CMY-16 + TEM-1		$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	A2	<i>E. coli</i>	3	3	2	NDM-1 + OXA-1 + TEM-1		$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	A3	<i>E. coli</i>	6	32	16	NDM-1 + CTX-M-15 + TEM-1		$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	A4	<i>E. coli</i>	4	>32	8	NDM-1 + OXA-1 + OXA-2 + CTX-M-15 + TEM-1		$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	A5	<i>E. coli</i>	16	>32	16	NDM-1 + CTX-M-15 + TEM-1		$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	A6	<i>E. coli</i>	>32	>32	>32	NDM-4 + CTX-M-15 + OXA-1		$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	A7	<i>E. coli</i>	>32	>32	>32	NDM-4 + CTX-M-15 + CMY-6		$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	A8	<i>E. coli</i>	>32	>32	>32	NDM-5 + TEM-1 + CTX-M-15		$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	A9	<i>E. coli</i>	6	32	8	NDM-6 + CTX-M-15 + OXA-1		$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	A10	<i>E. coli</i>	4	16	3	NDM-7		$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	B1	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	2	8	3	NDM-1 + CTX-M-15 + SHV-11 + OXA-1		$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	B2	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	>32	>32	>32	NDM-1 + CTX-M-15 + CMY-4 + OXA-1		$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	B3	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	>32	>32	>32	NDM-1 + CTX-M-15 + OXA-1 + OXA-9 + TEM-1 + SHV-28 + SHV-11		$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	B4	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	1.5	6	2	NDM-1 + OXA-1 + SHV-11		$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	B5	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	1	8	4	NDM-1 + OXA-1 + CTX-M-15 + TEM-1 + SHV-28 + OXA-9 + CMY-6		$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	B6	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	1.5	8	1.5	NDM-1 + TEM-1 + CTX-M-15 + SHV-12 + OXA-9		$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	B7	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	4	8	16	NDM-1 + TEM-1 + CTX-M-15 + SHV-12 + OXA-9		$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	B8	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	2	>32	4	NDM-1 + TEM-1 + CTX-M-15 + SHV-11 + OXA-1		$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	B9	<i>P. stuartii</i>	12	0.38	1.5	NDM-1 + OXA-1 + CMY-6 + TEM-1		$1 \times 10^2$	$> 1 \times 10^4$
	1	B10	<i>P. rettgeri</i>	3	0.5	1.5	NDM-1 + CTX-M-15		$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	C1	<i>Salmonella enterica</i>	4	6	3	NDM-1 + CTX-M-15 + TEM-1 + OXA-1 + OXA-9 + OXA-10		$5 \times 10^2$	$2 \times 10^2$
VIM-type	1	C2	<i>E. coli</i>	1.5	0.38	0.5	VIM-1 + CTX-M-3		$1 \times 10^2$	$> 1 \times 10^4$
	1	C3	<i>E. coli</i>	3	1.5	1	VIM-1 + CMY-13		$2 \times 10^3$	$6 \times 10^2$
	1	C4	<i>E. coli</i>	8	4	3	VIM-4		$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$
	1	C5	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	>32	>32	>32	VIM-1 + SHV-5		$1 \times 10^2$	$1 \times 10^2$

	1	C6	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	>32	>32	>32	VIM-1	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	1	C7	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	>32	>32	>32	VIM-1 + SHV-12	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	1	C8	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	>32	>32	>32	VIM-1	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	1	C9	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	4	2	2	VIM-1 + SHV-5	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	1	C10	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	>32	>32	>32	VIM-1 + TEM-1 + SHV-5	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	1	D1	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	>32	>32	>32	VIM-1 + SHV-5	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	1	D2	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	1	0.5	1	VIM-1 + CTX-M-3	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	1	D3	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	0.5	4	0.38	VIM-1 + SHV-5	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	1	D4	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	8	16	4	VIM-19 + CTX-M-3 + TEM-1 + SHV-1	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	1	D5	<i>E. cloacae</i>	1	0.38	0.5	VIM-1 + SHV-70	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	3 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	1	D6	<i>E. cloacae</i>	3	2	1	VIM-4 + CTX-M-15 + TEM-1 + SHV-31	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	1	D7	<i>C. freundii</i>	2	2	0.75	VIM-2 + TEM-1 +	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	1	D8	<i>C. freundii</i>	1.5	4	0.5	VIM-2 + TEM-1 + OXA-9 + OXA-10	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	1	D9	<i>E. coli</i>	0.5	3	0.5	IMP-1	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	1	D10	<i>E. coli</i>	6	8	3	IMP-8 + SHV -12	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
IMP-type	1	E1	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	1.5	3	1	IMP-1	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	1	E2	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	8	3	2	IMP-1 + TEM-15	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	1	E3	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	1.5	4	2	IMP-1 + TEM-15 + CTX-M-15	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	1	E4	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	1	2	8	IMP-1 + SHV-5	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	1	E5	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	1	1	0.5	IMP-8	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	1	E6	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	0.5	0.5	0.5	IMP-8 + SHV -12	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	1	E7	<i>E. cloacae</i>	1.5	1	1	IMP-8	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	1	E8	<i>E. cloacae</i>	0.75	0.5	0.5	IMP-8 + SHV-12	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	1	E9	<i>S. marscecens</i>	8	>32	2	IMP-11	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
GIM-type	1	E10	<i>E. cloacae</i>	2	>32	6	GIM-1	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
OXA-48	2	A1	<i>E. coli</i>	3	16	1	OXA-48 + CTX-M-15	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	2	A2	<i>E. coli</i>	0.5	0.75	0.12	OXA-48 + CTX-M-15	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	2	A3	<i>E. coli</i>	0.38	1.5	0.19	OXA-48 + CTX-M-15	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	2	A4	<i>E. coli</i>	0.25	0.5	0.19	OXA-48 + CTX-M-24 + TEM-1	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	2	A5	<i>E. coli</i>	0.75	1	0.19	OXA-48 + CTX-M-24 + TEM-1	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	2	A6	<i>E. coli</i>	0.5	1	0.25	OXA-48 + CTX-M-15	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	2	A7	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	0.5	2	0.5	OXA-48	2 x 10 <sup>3</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	2	A8	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	0.38	1	0.5	OXA-48 + TEM-1	$\geq 1 \times 10^4$	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	2	A9	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	2	3	2	OXA-48 + CTX-M-15	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	2	A10	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	1	4	1	OXA-48	$\geq 1 \times 10^4$	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	2	B1	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	1	4	1	OXA-48	$\geq 1 \times 10^4$	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	2	B2	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	>32	>32	>32	OXA-48	6 x 10 <sup>3</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	2	B3	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	0.5	0.75	0.25	OXA-48 + SHV-11	$\geq 1 \times 10^4$	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	2	B4	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	0.5	>32	1.5	OXA-48 + CTX-M-15 + TEM -1	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
2	B5	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	0.75	16	1	OXA-48 + CTX-M-15 + TEM-1	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	
2	B6	<i>E. cloacae</i>	0.5	2	0.5	OXA-48 + TEM-1 + CTX-M-15 + OXA-1	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	

	2	B7	<i>E. cloacae</i>	1	16	1.5	OXA-48 + TEM-1 + CTX-M-15 + OXA-1	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	2	B8	<i>E. cloacae</i>	2	8	1	OXA-48 + SHV-5	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	2	B9	<i>C. koseri</i>	0.38	2	0.38	OXA-48	1 x 10 <sup>3</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	2	B10	<i>C. koseri</i>	0.75	2	0.38	OXA-48 + TEM-1	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	2	C1	<i>C. freundii</i>	0.75	1,5	0.38	OXA-48 + SHV-12 + TEM-1	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
OXA-162	2	C2	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	4	8	1	OXA-162 + TEM-1 + SHV-11	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
		CNR 67 F9	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	0.38	8	0.5	OXA-162	> 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
OXA-181	2	C3	<i>E. coli</i>	0.38	1.5	0.19	OXA-181	1 x 10 <sup>3</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	2	C4	<i>E. coli</i>	0.5	1.5	0.25	OXA-181	> 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>
		CNR 51 E10	<i>E. coli</i>	0.38	0.38	0.12	OXA-181	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>
		CNR 59 F5	<i>E. coli</i>	0.38	1.5	0.12	OXA-181	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
		CNR 61 C6	<i>E. coli</i>	0.25	1	0.12	OXA-181	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
		CNR 61 D1	<i>E. coli</i>	0.25	1.5	0.12	OXA-181	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
		CNR 64 C4	<i>E. coli</i>	>32	>32	12	OXA-181	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	2	C9	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	0.5	2	0.5	OXA-181 + SHV-11 + CTXM-15 + OXA-1	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	CNR 58 J8	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	0.38	1.5	0.38	OXA-181	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	
OXA-204	2	D2	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	0.5	2	0.5	OXA-204 + CMY-4	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	2	D3	<i>E. coli</i>	0.38	0.19	0,094	OXA-204 + CMY-2 + CTX-M-15 + OXA-1	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	2	D4	<i>E. coli</i>	0,5	2	0.25	OXA-204 + CMY-4+ CTX-M-15 + OXA-1	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>
	2	D5	<i>E. coli</i>	0,5	2	0.38	OXA-204 + CMY-4 + CTX-M-15	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>3</sup>
	2	D6	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	0,5	16	0.75	OXA-204 + SHV-28 + TEM-1 + CTX-M-15	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
OXA-232	2	D7	<i>E. coli</i>	>32	>32	>32	OXA-232 + CTX-M-15 + OXA-1	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	2	D8	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	3	>32	12	OXA-232 +SHV-1 + TEM-1 + CTX-M-15 + OXA-1	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
		CNR 68 B2	<i>E. coli</i>				OXA-232	> 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
OXA-244	2	D9	<i>E. coli</i>	0.5	2	0.5	OXA-244 + TEM-1 + CMY-2	> 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	2	D10	<i>E. coli</i>	0.5	1.5	0.5	OXA-244 + TEM-1 + CMY-2	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
OXA-372	2	J6	<i>C. freundii</i>	3	2	0.5	OXA-372 + CMY-135 + OXA-10 + MOX-9	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
Multiple carbapenemases	2	C5	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	3	>32	4	OXA-181 + SHV-11 + TEM-1 + CTX-M-15 + NDM-1 + OXA-1	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	2	C6	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	>32	>32	>32	OXA-181 + SHV-27 + CTX-M-15 + TEM-1 + NDM-1 + OXA-1	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	2	C7	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	>32	>32	>32	OXA-181 + SHV-11 + CTX-M-15 + NDM-1 + OXA-1	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	2	C8	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	16	>32	>32	OXA-181 + SHV-11 + TEM-1 + CTX-M-15 + NDM-1 + OXA-9	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	2	C10	<i>K.pneumoniae</i>	0.5	2	0.5	OXA-181 + NDM-1 + SHV-2 + CTX-M-15 + OXA-1	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	2	D1	<i>C.freundii</i>	>32	>32	16	OXA-181 + NDM-1 + OXA-1 + OXA-9 + OXA-10 + CTX-M-15 + TEM-1	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
		CNR 60 A6	<i>E. coli</i>	16	>32	16	NDM-1 + OXA-48	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
		CNR 63 C5	<i>E. coli</i>	2	>32	3	NDM-1 + OXA-48	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
		CNR 67 D2	<i>E. coli</i>	1.5	>32	3	NDM-1 + OXA-48	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
		CNR 22 F9	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	4	>32	8	NDM-1 + OXA-232	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
		CNR 46 A8	<i>E. coli</i>	2	>32	6	NDM-1 + OXA-232	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
		CNR 28 10	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	>32	>32	>32	NDM-5 + OXA-232	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
		CNR 51 A9	<i>E. coli</i>	0.75	1	0.38	NDM-1 + VIM-2	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>
	CNR 45 J4	<i>E. cloacae</i>	1	3	0.75	VIM-4 + OXA-48	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	

<sup>a</sup> Abbreviations : IPM, imipenem; ETP, ertapenem, MEM, meropenem, **↗↗↗** Case, overexpressed cephalosporinase

<sup>b</sup> One ml of stools contains 100 mg of stool.

<sup>c</sup> Underlined CFU counts are considered as negative results (cut-off values set at  $\geq 1 \times 10^4$  CFU/ ml).